- I. CERTIFICATION OF PRIOR FISCAL YEAR FINANCIAL DATA
- II. ASSESSMENT NOTICE (FY2010) Based on Governor's House 1 Budget

In accordance with Section VII, Part b, of the Amherst-Pelham Regional School District Agreement (as amended) and Chapter 71 Section 16B of the General Laws the following is hereby certified.

I. EXCESS & DEFICIENCY FUND (CHAPTER 71 SEC. 16B):

The amount by which the unencumbered amount in the Excess & deficiency Fund at the end of the preceding fiscal year, as certified by the commissioner of revenue, exceeds five percent of the regional school district's operating budget and its budgeted capital costs for the current fiscal year:

\$0.00

II. ASSESSMENTS (FY2010):

Note: The Regional School Committee has voted a FY10 Budget of \$28,636,214 (a 1.8 percent increase over FY2009). The School Committee has also voted to assess member towns \$16,512,671, based on the Regional Agreement assessment method, which reflects a 3.2 percent increase in total assessment.

HOWEVER: All member towns must vote to adopt the Regional Agreement assessment method. Unless unanimous, the District must use the Statutory Assessment Method specified in MGL Chapter 70, Section 6.

REGIONAL AGREEMENT ASSESSMENT METHOD

FY10 adopted budget, voted by Regional School Committee on April 7, 2009: \$28,636,214

| | Amherst | Pelham | Leverett | Shutesbury | Total |
|------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| TOTAL ASSESSMENT | \$12,829,201 | \$1,083,410 | \$1,165,155 | \$1,434,905 | \$16,512,671 |

Assessment calculated per the Regional Agreement method as voted by the school committee and forwarded to the member towns for approval. See attached assessment calculation sheet for details.

STATUTORY ASSESSMENT METHOD

FY10 adopted budget, voted by Regional School Committee on April 7, 2009: \$28,636,214

| · | Amherst | Pelham | Leverett | Shutesbury | Total |
|------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| TOTAL ASSESSMENT | \$12,785,622 | \$1,150,900 | \$1,384,689 | \$1,191,460 | \$16,512,671 |

Assessment calculated per the Statutory Assessment Method that must be used unless all member towns vote to use the Regional Agreement Method. See attached assessment calculation sheet for details.

1 Wallace

After all member towns have voted their preference of assessment method, a final certification will be issued with assessments listed in quarterly payments.

CERTIFIED BY:

MARY WALLACE
DISTRICT TREASURER

Date:

STATUTORY PROCEDURE FOR CALCULATING REGIONAL ASSESSMENTS

| | CT: AMHERST-PI REFORM DATA: | | | • | | Chapter 70 | | | | us to DOE Recom | | (12) |
|---------|--------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------|--------------|-------------|---------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|
| DOL. | KEI OKE DATA | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (10) | (11) | CH70 |
| Œ | MEMBER | Minimum | Total | Minimum | Foundation | Foundation | Hold | New Region | New Choice | Supplemental | NET SCH. | Stab. F |
| # | TOWNS | Contriution | CH70 Aid | Aid | Growth Aid | Gap Aid | Harmless | Aid | Aid | Aid | SPENDING | FY20 |
| 8 | Amherst | 8,524,254 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | SO | | |
| | Pelham | 791,858 | ŏ | o | o | ا ة | Ĭ | اة | ů | ا د د | | |
| 0 | | | | ő | | 1 | ľ | l ől | 0 | اة | | |
| 4 | Leverett | 993,516 | 0] | - | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | • | | | l |
| 2 | Shutesbury | 715,764 | 0{ | 0 | 0 | <u> </u> | | 0 | 0 |] 0 | | |
| | I | 11,025,392 | 9,883,632 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 0 | 0 | 20,909,024 | |
| | | | | | | | | TOTAL AID | 9,883,632 | | | 90 |
| | | | | 4 | | | | | | | | (90 |
| TAN | DARDIZED ASSES | SMENT CALC | ULATION: | | | | | | | | | |
| - 1 | GROSS BUDGET | | | | |] | III. NET CATE | EGORY BUDGET | TS: | | | |
| - Ic | Operating Budget (N | et Spending Ca | tagories) | | \$27,358,527 | | | | | | | |
| - (0 | Other Operational C | osts: | | | | | A) Other Oper | rational Costs: | | | | |
| | School Lunch (Pro | gram 66000D) | | | 0 | ļ | | School Lunch | ı | | \$0 | |
| | Adult Ed | • | | | 0 | | | Adult Ed | | | 0 | |
| | Summer School (P | rog 19000D) | | | 0 | Ì | 1 | Sumer Schoo | t | | 0 | |
| - 1 | Crossing Guards (| | D) | | 2,500 | | 1 | Crossing Gua | | | 2,500 | |
| | Fixed Assets | | , | | 247,112 | | | Fixed Assets | | | 247,112 | |
| | | u Comicas de T | **** | | 247,112 | | | | munity Services to | Fourne | 0 | |
| _ | District/Communi | | WIIS | | - | | | DISTRICTOR | munity Services to | i Dwits | · · · · · · · · · | 6040 |
| | ransportation (Reg | | | | 1,028,075 | A 7 1/2 1 | 4 | | | | | \$249 |
| | debt/Capital Project | | debt exclusion \$) | ' _ | 0 | % Inc/(Dec) | | | erational Revenues | : | | |
| 1 | OTAL GROSS BUD | GET | | | \$28,636,214 | 1.8% | | School Lunch | l . | | \$0 | |
| | | | | | | | | Other | | | 0_ | |
| | | | | | | | | Total Revenues | | | | |
| | I. MINIMUM CON | RIBUTION TE | ST: | | | | | | Net Other Operation | nal Costs: | | \$249 |
| lo | perating Budget (N | et Spending Ca | tagories) | | \$27,358,527 | | | | • | | | |
| | ESS: NON-CATEG | | | | · | | B) Transports | tions | | | \$1,028,075 | |
| - 1 | leneral Fund Reven | | LITOLS, | | | | D) 1144300114 | Less: Transporta | tion his | | 781,178 | |
| 10 | | ies; | | 100 000 | | | | | tion via | | | |
| | Interest | | | 120,000 | | | | Fees | | | 0 | |
| ı | Other Revenue Source | | | U | | | | Total Revenues | | . | | 781 |
| | Application of Cho | ice Tuitions (gr | oss) | 650,000 | | | <u> </u> | | Net Transportation | Costs: | | \$246 |
| | Less DOE ChoiceA | id Reduction (A | Assess in Exp B | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| C | Chapter 70 Aid - (Co | 12-8 & 12 DOE | Data) | 9,883,632 | | | | | | | | |
| - 1 | Additional proj Ai | d (House/Senate | Cushion) | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| | DOE Charter Aid | | | 108,733 | | | | | | • | | |
| | Less Transfer to Si | | | (90,000) | | | | | | | | |
| le | tate Ward Reimbur | | • | (50,000) | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | IAIC WAIG ISCHIOLI | | - Fotal Revenues | | \$10,672,365 | | C) Debt/Capit | at Drainates | | | | |
| - 1. | mm o | | | - | | | с) Бевисари | • | | | 0 | |
| | ET Operating Budg | | | | \$16,686,162 | | | Less: SBAB Sta | | | U_U | |
| | OTE: Distribution | | | t | | | | | Net Debt/Capital Pr | ojects: | | |
| | Iinimum Locai Con | | | _ | 11,025,392 | | | | | | | |
| [C | ver/(Under) Minim | um Locai Contr | ibution | | \$5,660,770 | | | | | | | |
| [Ii | V. ASSESSMENTS: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | _ | Amherst | Pelham | Leverett | Shutesbury | TOTAL | | | |
| N. | finimum Local Con | ribution (Col 1 | DOE Data) | | 8,524,254 | 791,858 | 993,516 | 715,764 | \$11,025,392 | | 16,512,671 | Assess S |
| N | et Other Operation | d Costs: | - | | 191,178 | 14,927 | 23,488 | 20,019 | 249,612 | | 9,883,632 | CH70 \$ |
| | et Transportation C | | | | 191,864 | 16,221 | 17,332 | 21,480 | 246,897 | | 0 | Add't CH |
| | et Debt/Capital Pro | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | CH70 St |
| | ET Operating Budg | | n Catannelse) | | 4,398,984 | 371,913 | 397,386 | 492,487 | 5,660,770 | | 781,178 | |
| ۱,۱ | ET Obersting Dans | et (etet openum | ig Catagorita) | - | 13,306,280 | 1,194,919 | 1,431,723 | 1,249,750 | 17,182,671 | | | IndCost |
| _ | 4 D. 1 D 0 D | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ess: Applied E & D | | (0) | | 435,176 | 36,792 | 39,312 | 48,720 | 560,000 | | | Medicare |
| | ess: Applied Indirec | | (Grants) | | 11,657 | 986 | 1,053 | 1,305 | 15,000 | | 120,000 | |
| ļL | ess: Applied Medics | | | _ | 73,825 | 6,242 | 6,669 | 8,265 | 95,000 | | 108,733 | |
| l | TOTAL ASSI | ESSMENT: | | | 12,785,622 | 1,150,900 | 1,384,689 | 1,191,460 | 16,512,671 | • | 650,000 | Choice \$ |
| | CH70 AID PA | YMENT TO | REGION: | | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 0 | 9,883,632 | | 560,000 | E&D |
| | | MENT TO RE | | _ | 12,785,622 | 1,150,900 | 1,384,689 | 1,191,460 | 26,396,303 | _ | 28,636,214 | |
| _L. | ······· | | | | | | | | | 0 | | |
| egion | al Agreement Form | ula for Cost Dis | tribution: | | (Percent) | Amherst | Pelham | Leverett | Shutesbury | | | |
| | e applied to new (To | | | _ | Debt | 77.72% | 5,75% | 8,70% | 7.83% | 100.00% | EQV % locke | ed at Debt |
| 9/a ros | | ra non ucot citt | ···· | | | | | | | | | |
| | s based on DOR Bier | elel Benebead O | abiatione | | Capital | 76.59% | 5.98% | 9.41% | 8.02% | 100.00% | % based on | Rienniel: |

FY10: AID PER DOE (Projected - Based on FY10 DOE Governor's House 1 Budget & DOE \$\$/web site)

(4)

\$10,672,365

\$16,686,162

\$16,686,162

(3)

DISTRICT: AMHERST-PELHAM REGIONAL (7-12)

(1)

(2)

Total Revenues

NET Operating Budget (Net Spending Catagories)

Over/(Under) Minimum Local Contribution

NOTE: Distribution of excess by Regional Agreement Minimum Local Contribution (Col 1 DOE Data)

A. DOE REFORM DATA;

CALCULATING REGIONAL ASSESSMENTS PER REGIONAL AGREEMENT (Gross Budget less Applied Revenues = Net per 5yr pupil moving avg with capital items at equalized valuation %)

Chapter 70

(7)

(8)

(12)

CI170 to

\$0

(11)

(10)

| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (0) | . (/) | . (0) | (10) | (11) | C1170 10 |
|------|--|--------------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|----------------|--------------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------|------------|
| OE | MEMBER | Minimum | Total | Minimum | Foundation | Foundation | Hold | New Region | New Choice | Supplemental | NET SCH. | Stab, Fund |
| ID# | TOWNS | Contrintion | CH70 Aid | Aid | Growth Aid | Gap Aid | Harmless | Aid | Aid | AidFY01 | SPENDING | FY2010 |
| 800 | Amherst | 8,524,254 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | | |
| 230 | Pelham | 791,858 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 154 | Leverett | 993,516 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0] | 0 | 0 | 0] | | |
| 272 | Shutesbury | 715,764 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 0 | | 0 | | |
| | • | 11,025,392 | 9,883,632 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0_ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20,909,024 | |
| | | | | | | | | TOTAL AID | 9,883,632 | | L | 90,00 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | (90,00 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CT L | NDARDIZED ASSE | CONTENT CALC | U ATION. | | | | | | | | | |
| 3121 | NDARDIZED ASSE | SSMENT CALC | JEATION: | | | • | | | | | | |
| 1 | L GROSS BUDGET | BY CATEGORY | : | | | | HI. NET CATE | GORY BUDGET | S: | | | |
| | Operating Budget (| | | | \$27,358,527 | | | | | | | |
| | Other Operational (| | | | 72-,, | | A) Other Oper | ational Costs: | | | | |
| | School Lunch (Pr | | | | n l | | , | School Lunch | , | | \$0 | |
| | Adult Ed | ogram occoods) | | | ň | | | Adult Ed | • | | 0 | |
| | Summer School (| Prog. 16000Th | | | امٌ | | | Sumer Schoo | 1 | | ň | |
| | Crossing Guards | | ı. | | 2,500 | | | Crossing Gu | | | 2,500 | |
| | Fixed Assets | (Linkiani econom | ') | | 247,112 | | | Fixed Assets | 1103 | | 247,112 | |
| | District/Commun | ten Camilana ta Ta | | | 247,112 | | | | munity Services | to Towns | 0 | |
| | Transportation (Res | | 17115 | | 1,028,075 | | | Distriction | munity betvices | 10 104113 | | \$249,6 |
| | | | -1.4t 6\ | | 1,028,073 | % Inc/(Dec) | 1 | Less Other On | erational Reven | 1144 | | \$247,0 |
| | Debt/Capital Projec | | ent exclusion sy | - | \$28,636,214 | 1.83% | | School Lunch | | uesi | \$0 | |
| | TOTAL GROSS BU | DGEI | | | 328,030,214 | 1.0378 | | Other | • | | 0 | |
| 1 | | | | | | | | Total Revenues | | | <u></u> | |
| ſ | IL MINIMUM CON | TENTENTAL TE | OVP. | | | | | | Net Other Opera | ettown! Contra | - | \$249,6 |
| | | | | | 627 250 627 | | | | Mei Oiner Open | atorat Costs. | | 3277,0 |
| | Operating Budget (I LESS: NON-CATE) | | | | \$27,358,527 | | B) Transportat | ione | | | \$1,028,075 | |
| J | LESS: NON-CATEO General Fund Rever | | AVUES: | | | • | 1 | ion; Less: Transporta | tion Aid | | 781,178 | |
| - 1 | | mes: | | 100.000 | | | | Fees | HOR AND | | 761,176 | |
| - 1 | Interest | | | 120,000 0 | | | | rees Total Revenues | | - | <u>_</u> | 781,1 |
| | Other Revenue Sou | | > | U | | | | | Net Transportat | ion Cortes | _ | \$246,8 |
| | Application of Ch | | , | 650,000 | | | | | ries i runsportai | ion Cosis: | | .9240,0° |
| | Less DOE Choice | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Chapter 70 Aid - (C | | • | 9,883,632 | | | | | | | | |
| | Additional proj A | | | 0 | | | 1 | | | | | |
| | DOE Charter Aid | | | 108,733 | | | | | | | | |
| - 1 | Less Transfer to S | | l | (90,000) | | | | | | | | |
| - 1 | State Ward Reimbu | rsement | _ | 0 | | | | | | | | |

| | Amherst | Pelham | Leverett | Shutesbury | TOTAL | | |
|---|------------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|------|
| Minimum Local Contribution (Col 1 DOE Data) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | 16,512,671 | As |
| et Other Operational Costs: | 191,178 | 14,927 | 23,488 | 20,019 | 249,612 | 9,883,632 | CE |
| let Transportation Costs: | 191,864 | 16,221 | 17,332 | 21,480 | 246,897 | 0 | Ađ |
| let Debt/Capital Projects: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (90,000) |) CE |
| ET Operating Budget (Net Spending Catagories) | 12,966,816 | 1,096,281 | 1,171,369 | 1,451,696 | 16,686,162 | 781,178 | Tra |
| • | 13,349,858 | 1,127,429 | 1,212,189 | 1,493,195 | 17,182,671 | 15,000 | Ind |
| ess: Applied E & D | 435,176 | 36,792 | 39,312 | 48,720 | 560,000 | 95,000 | Me |
| ess: Applied Indirect Cost Revenue (Grants) | 11,657 | 986 | 1,053 | 1,305 | 15,000 | 120,000 | Int |
| ess: Applied Medicaid | 73,825 | 6,242 | 6,669 | 8,265 | 95,000 | 108,733 | Cha |
| TOTAL ASSESSMENT: | 12,829,201 | 1,083,410 | 1,165,155 | 1,434,905 | 16,512,671 | 650,000 | Ch |
| CH70 AID PAYMENT TO REGION: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9,883,632 | 560,000 | E& |
| TOTAL PAYMENT TO REGION: | 12,829,201 | 1,083,410 | 1,165,155 | 1,434,905 | 26,396,303 | 28,636,214 | TO |
| | | | | | | 0 | |

C) Debt/Capital Projects:

Less: SBAB State Aid

Net Debt/Capital Projects:

| . Regional Agreement Formula for Cost Distribution: | (Percent) | Amherst | Pelham | Leverett | Shutesbury | | |
|---|-----------|---------|--------|----------|------------|---------|---------------------------|
| Oebt % rate applied to new (Town non-debt exclusion) issues | Debt | 77.72% | 5,75% | 8.70% | 7.83% | 100.00% | EQV % locked at Debt vote |
| Capital % is based on DOR Biennial Equalized Valuations | Capital | 76.59% | 5.98% | 9.41% | 8.02% | 100.00% | % based on Biennial EQV |
| Operating % is District 5 year moving average | Operating | 77,71% | 6.57% | 7.02% | 8.70% | 100,00% | |



The Commonwealth of Massachusetts Department of Education

350 Main Street, Malden, Massachusetts 02148-5023

Telephone: (781) 338-3000 TTY: N.E.T. Relay (800) 439-2370

Guidance for Regional School Districts

The Board of Education approved amendments to the regulations governing regional school districts (603 CMR 41) at its meeting on January 23, 2007. The revised regulations became effective on February 9, 2007. The purpose of these amendments is to clarify the procedural requirements governing regional school district budgets. There are several areas of these amendments that we wish to highlight. For a complete copy of the most recent Regional School District Regulations go to: http://www.doe.mass.edu/lawsregs/603cmr41.html.

Assessment methodologies:

The new regulations, as recently amended, outline two methodologies available to regional school districts for calculating assessments to member towns. The methodologies are defined in CMR 41.02 and as follows:

- Statutory Assessment Method: The calculation of members' assessments pursuant to the provisions of M.G.L. c. 70 S6. Each such assessment shall be the sum of the following amounts (i) the member's required local contribution to the regional school district as determined by the Commissioner; (ii) the member's share of that portion of the regional school district's net school spending, as defined by M.G.L. c. 70 s. 2, that exceeds the total required local contribution for all members, this share to be allocated pursuant to the assessment provisions of the regional agreement; and (iii) the member's share of costs for transportation, capital project debt service, other capital costs, and all other expenditures not included in the regional school district's net school spending, this share to be allocated pursuant to the assessment provisions of the regional agreement.
- ➤ Statutory Language Chapter 70, Section 6

Notwithstanding the provisions of any regional school district agreement, each member municipality shall increase its contribution to the regional district each fiscal year by the amount indicated in that district's share of the municipality's minimum regional contribution in that fiscal year. The district shall appropriate the sum of the minimum regional contributions of its member districts as well as all state school aid received on behalf of member municipalities. The district may choose to spend additional amounts; such decisions shall be made and such amounts charged to members according to the district's required agreement.

Key points:

- The Regional school district prepares its assessment to each member municipality in a *multi step* process, as follows:
 - The member's required local contribution as determined by the Commissioner of Education in accordance with Chapter 70
 - Any additional share of the region's net school spending that exceeds the total required contribution, this share to be allocated to each member pursuant to the assessment provisions of the regional school district agreement.
 - Transportation and other non-net school spending costs allocated to each member pursuant to the assessment provisions of the regional school district agreement.
 - Capital cost allocated to each member pursuant to the assessment provisions of the regional school district agreement.
- > An annual affirmative vote of the appropriating authorities of 2/3 of the members is required.
- Notification to the Department of Education is not required.

2. Alternative Assessment Method: The calculation of members' assessments pursuant to the local option provided in the fourth paragraph of M.G.L. c 71, s. 16B. Each such assessment shall be the sum of the following amounts: (i) the member's share of the regional school district's net school spending, as defined by M.G.L. c70 s.2; and (ii) the member's share of costs for transportation, capital project debt service, other capital costs, and all other expenditures not included in the regional school district's net school spending; both such shares to be allocated pursuant to the assessment provisions of the regional agreement.

Key points:

> Statutory Language: Chapter 71, Section 16 B (Regional School District Law)

The members of a regional school district, including a vocational regional school district, may elect to reallocate the sum of their required local contributions to the district in accordance with the regional agreement; provided, however, that the total sum of their required contributions shall not be decreased. Election shall be by approval of all members of the district. Approval of each member shall be given by majority vote at an annual or special town meeting, in the case of towns, or by majority vote of the council, in the case of cities. The commissioner of education shall be notified upon the adoption of this section by this district. Nothing in this section shall be construed to affect the calculation of the members' required local contributions for any succeeding year as provided by chapter seventy of the General Laws.

- > All members of the regional school district must unanimously approve this method.
- A vote must be taken each year to utilize this method.
- Adoption of this method *must be reported* to the Commissioner of Education on the district's annual end of year pupil and financial report.
- Assessments are annually determined after deducting state aid receipts based on the provisions outlined in the regional school district agreement.
- > The total assessment allocated to each member is the sum of the following amounts:
 - An assessment for categories defined in c70, s2 as net school spending.
 - Separate assessment(s) for all other operating or capital costs.
- > The combined sum of all the members' assessments for net school spending *must* at least equal the district's total net school spending requirement net of state Chapter 70 aid.

Example 1: Statutory Assessment Method - based on Chapter 70, Section 6

| School Committee Proposed Budget: Net School Spending Categories - | \$9,000,000 |
|--|-------------|
| Chapter 70 State Aid and Other Revenue | \$3,000,000 |
| Net amount to be raised in assessments | \$6,000,000 |

| | Minimum Local Contribution | Above Minimum Operating Share | Operating % RSD Agreement | Total |
|--------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| Town A | \$1,000,000 | \$600,000 | 30% | \$1,600,000 |
| Town B | \$2,500,000 | \$800,000 | 40% | \$3,300,000 |
| Town C | \$ 500,000 | \$600,000 | 30% | \$1,100,000 |
| TOTAL | \$4,000,000 | \$2,000,000 | 100% | \$6,000,000 |

In this example, each town meets its minimum local contribution. The additional \$2,000,000 requested in the school committee budget is apportioned using the regional school district allocation method. The resulting total allocated to each town will not match the regional agreement allocation percentage.

Example 2: Alternative Assessment Method – based on Regional Agreement

| | Minimum Local Contribution Total | Operating % RSD Agreement | Operating Share |
|--------|---|---------------------------|--------------------|
| Town A | | 30% | \$1,800,000 |
| Town B | | 40% | \$2,400,000 |
| Town C | | 30% | \$1,800,000 |
| TOTAL | \$4,000,000 | 100% | \$6,000,000 |

In this example by using the regional school district allocation method, the sum of the members' share more than satisfies the \$4,000,000 total required local contribution. The total amount raised through assessments must equal the sum of the required minimum local contributions as determined by the Commissioner of Education.

Comparison:

| | Statutory Assessment Method | Alternative Assessment Method |
|--------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Town A | \$1,600,000 | \$1,800,000 |
| Town B | \$3,300,000 | \$2,400,000 |
| Town C | \$1,100,000 | \$1,800,000 |
| TOTAL | \$6,000,000 | \$6,000,000 |

This is a simplified version of the difference in assessment methodologies for operating expenses defined in Chapter 70, Section 6 as net school spending. All other categories of spending, including but not limited to transportation and capital costs would continue to be apportioned to members based on the current procedure outlined in the regional school district agreement.